



	Sharing the Voices of Those Who to Change Practice and	
Organisation(s):	The University of Birmingham & Changing o	ur Lives
Country:	United Kingdom	
Contact:	policy@esn-eu.org	
<u>Theme:</u>	 Ageing & Care Asylum & Migration Young People Support for Children & Families Community Care Integrated Care & Support Co-Production Disability Housing & Homelessness Artificial Intelligence Digitalisation Quality Care 	 Labour Market Inclusion Social Inclusion Technology Workforce and Leadership Social benefits EU Funding Social Service's Resilience Mental Health Person-centred Care Other, please specify:
Principles of the European Pillar of Social Rights: Check the 20 principles here.	 1. Education, training, life-long learning 2. Gender equality 3. Equal opportunities 4. Active support to employment 5. Secure and adaptable employment 6. Fair Wages 7. Transparent employment conditions 8. Social dialogue 9. Work-life balance 10. Healthy, safe work environment 	 11. Childcare and child support 12. Social protection 13. Unemployment benefits 14. Minimum income 15. Old age income and pensions 16. Health care 17. Inclusion of people with disabilities 18. Long-term care 19. Housing and assistance to homeless 20. Access to essential services
Current status of the practice:	 Concept and Design Phase Execution & Monitoring Phase Consolidation Phase Scaling Up and Transformation Phase Other (please specify) 	
Context/ Social issues addressed Please explain the problem you attempt to solve.	In recent years, there has been growing concern about the number of people with learning disabilities and/or autistic people being admitted to hospital for extended periods of many years with no planned date for them to leave. Although the UK decided to close asylums for people with learning disabilities from the 1960s onwards, there has been a growth in people admitted to assessment and treatment units, with widespread recognition that some people stay here for far too long, sometimes with little 'assessment' or 'treatment' that could not be provided elsewhere. This is a real problem as these services struggle to help people lead ordinary lives, can be a long way from people's homes and families, are very expensive	

	and have seen a number of abuse scandals – just as was the case with the asylums of the 1960s.
	The benefits of social inclusion for people with a learning disability and/or autistic people, their families, and wider society have been recognised for many decades. This has led many countries, including the UK, to close long-stay institutional health campuses where people live separate from their communities for most of their lives. Whilst community accommodation and/or care options provide more individualised care, there are insufficient services to support people in a time of crisis relating to their mental well-being and/or behaviour. This can lead to admitting people to a hospital setting, enabling specialist clinicians and practitioners to undertake assessments and provide appropriate treatment and therapy. While these are planned to be focussed and short-term, many become extended indefinitely due to barriers to arranging community-based social service and health care accommodation and support for the individual.
	For example, in England, there are 2,000 people in hospital at any one time; the average length of stay is 5.5 years, and 350 people have been in hospital for more than 10 years. This prevents people from being able to live an ordinary life, and they are often placed far from home, which means they are distant from their families and communities. Finally, such services are very expensive, which creates a vicious cycle whereby funding is sucked into institutional forms of care, leaving less money for community services and leading to even more people being admitted.
Objectives: Please provide a maximum of three objectives in bullet points.	 Identify lessons for policy/practice so that more people can leave the hospital and lead a more ordinary life in the community.
	2. Understand better the experiences of people with learning disabilities and/or autistic people in long-stay hospital settings, their families and front-line staff
	3. Understand the reasons why some people with learning disabilities and/or autistic people are unable to leave the hospital, drawing on multiple perspectives (including the lived experience of people with learning disabilities and their families and the tacit knowledge of front-line staff).
	4. Create practice guides and training materials to support new understandings and new ways of working.
Activities: Please summarise the activities to achieve the objectives (maximum 200 words).	Activity 1 – a formal review of the research and grey Literature regarding delayed discharge for people with learning disabilities and/or autistic people in long-stay hospital settings to understand what was already known.
	Activity 2 – In-depth work with up to ten people with learning disabilities and/or autistic people in three hospital sites (i.e. ten in each), and with a family member to understand their journey through services over time, their experience of long-stay hospital provision, the kinds of lives they would like to be living, and the barriers that are preventing them from leaving hospital
	Activity 3 Focus groups and/or interviews with front-line hospital staff in each site, purchasers of their care, social workers, advocates and social care providers.
	Activity 4 – Working with a Reference Group of people who had the experience of being in such settings and an Advisory Group of policy and practice experts to co-design our approach, sense-check findings, advise on practice tools and support dissemination.

	Activity 5 Setup of a national community of practice to disseminate the guide and videos and to provide peer support.
Evaluation of practice: Please explain how you evaluate the practice, and what the results were/are so far	The research culminated in a list of ten top tips to enable people to move onto community- based options via an accessible guide and training video. We managed to create a national community of practice which will use the top tips as the template for local groups across the country to reflect on their current practice and agree to practical changes in the way they do things. We are planning to undertake future research to help us understand what happened next and if there have been any improvements in practice and policy.
Links to supporting documents: e.g. website or report of the practice	https://research.birmingham.ac.uk/en/publications/why-are-we-stuck-in-hospital- understanding-delayed-hospital-disch Networks suggest practical ideas for issues - IMPACT (bham.ac.uk)
Comments and tips i.e. for people willing to use your Practice	Close collaboration between rights-based / advocacy organisations, universities and the practice settings enabled people often excluded from research to participate and for the practice and policy insights to be relevant and engaging.